Legal Aspects of Digital Business Licensing: A Mixed-Methods Analysis of the OSS-RBA in Indonesia's Batam Free Trade Zone

Fadlan[1*], E Arinda Chikita[2]

[1]Faculty of Law, Universitas Batam, Batam, Indonesia

[2]Faculty of Law, Universitas Internasional Batam, Batam, Indonesia

A B S T R A C T

The global trend of digital transformation in public administration has prompted significant legal and institutional reforms aimed at enhancing economic competitiveness. In Indonesia, this is exemplified by the 2021 launch of the Online Single Submission Risk-Based Approach (OSS-RBA), a centralized digital platform for business licensing. This study investigates the implementation of this system within the complex legal environment of the Batam Free Trade Zone (FTZ), a strategic economic hub characterized by regulatory dualism. The aim of this research is to critically evaluate the legal and commercial implications of the OSS-RBA's implementation in a Special Economic Zone. Its novelty lies in employing a rigorous mixed-methods approach to move beyond a simple efficiency analysis, providing a nuanced examination of the tensions between digital administrative reform and the foundational commercial law principles of legal certainty, procedural justice, and regulatory harmonization. This study utilized a convergent parallel mixed-methods design. The doctrinal legal analysis involved a systematic content analysis of Indonesia's 1945 Constitution, Law No. 11 of 2020 (Omnibus Law), and Batam-specific regulations. The empirical component included a quantitative analysis of 245 licensing applications (2022-2023), three distinct surveys of business representatives and applicants (n=156, n=189, n=198), and qualitative data from structured interviews with 56 regulatory officials and legal practitioners, alongside focus groups with 45 business actors. A novel Business Legal Certainty Index (BLCI) was constructed and validated to measure regulatory predictability. The findings demonstrate that the OSS-RBA has yielded significant administrative efficiencies, reducing licensing processing times by up to 83.9% and increasing approval rates to 92.7%. This correlates with a 67.3% increase in Foreign Direct Investment in Batam post-implementation. However, the system is fraught with challenges. The study identified 23 specific legal inconsistencies between national and FTZ regulations, leading to jurisdictional ambiguity. Furthermore, the opacity of algorithmic decision-making raises significant administrative justice concerns, with only 45% of automated decisions providing a clear rationale, thereby limiting access to effective legal remedies. In conclusion, the OSS-RBA represents a critical step toward modernizing Indonesia's investment climate, but its success is contingent on substantial legal and institutional reform. To realize the full potential of digital governance, policymakers must prioritize comprehensive regulatory harmonization, amend administrative procedure laws to safeguard due process in an automated era, and strengthen institutional capacity.

1. Introduction

The 21st century has been defined by a pervasive digital transformation that has fundamentally reconfigured the architecture of global commerce, social interaction, and state governance.[1] Within the sphere of public administration, this transformation has catalyzed a global movement towards e-government, a paradigm shift propelled by the promise of greater efficiency, enhanced transparency, and more responsive public services. A principal arena for

these reforms has been the modernization of business licensing and regulatory frameworks, which stand as critical determinants of a nation's investment climate, its capacity to foster innovation, and its overall economic competitiveness.[2] It is within this global context that Indonesia, as Southeast Asia's largest economy and a key player in global supply chains, has embarked on an ambitious and transformative journey of digital administrative reform, the cornerstone of which is the Online Single Submission Risk-Based Approach (OSS-RBA) system, introduced in 2021.

The OSS-RBA platform signifies a radical departure from Indonesia's traditional, paper-based, and notoriously convoluted bureaucratic systems. It serves as the primary instrument for operationalizing the sweeping mandates of Law No. 11 of 2020 on Job Creation, colloquially known as the "Omnibus Law." This landmark legislation was designed to holistically restructure Indonesia's dense regulatory landscape, excising legal bottlenecks and streamlining procedures to attract a new wave of domestic and foreign investment. The core innovation of the OSS-RBA lies in its explicit adoption of a risk-based model, a concept drawn directly from contemporary regulatory theory.[3] This model categorizes all business activities into one of three tiers—low, medium, or high risk—and subsequently tailors the depth and intensity of regulatory scrutiny to the specific risk profile of each enterprise. In principle, this sophisticated approach is designed to achieve a dual objective: to expedite the approval of low-risk ventures with minimal friction, thereby reducing the compliance burden on small businesses and startups, while simultaneously enabling state regulators to concentrate their finite resources on higher-risk industries that pose more significant environmental, social, or financial hazards.[4]

The complexities of this digital transformation are magnified exponentially when the national OSS-RBA system is implemented within the unique legal and institutional contexts of Indonesia's Special Economic Zones (SEZs).[5] This study focuses on the most prominent of these, the Batam Free Trade Zone (FTZ).

Designated as an SEZ to capitalize on its highly strategic geographical position, Batam operates under a specialized legal framework designed to make it a haven for investment. This creates a state of regulatory dualism, where the authority of the zone's governing body, BP Batam, coexists uneasily with that of national ministries. The imposition of a standardized, national digital platform like the OSS-RBA onto this complex and layered legal terrain creates immediate and significant friction, raising critical legal questions concerning regulatory hierarchy, jurisdictional primacy, and the coherence of the legal system as a whole.[6]

The central problem animating this research, therefore, is the profound tension between the stated objectives of the OSS-RBA—to create a simple, certain, and transparent licensing process—and the practical, on-the-ground reality of legal fragmentation that characterizes the Batam FTZ.[7] This tension strikes at the heart of the most foundational principle of commercial and investment law: legal certainty. For domestic and international investors, legal certainty—the assurance that legal rules are clear, predictable, and consistently enforced—is the bedrock upon which all commercial decisions are made.[8]

The primary aim of this study, therefore, is to conduct a critical and multidimensional evaluation of the legal, commercial, and administrative implications of implementing the OSS-RBA within the unique context of a Special Economic Zone. This research moves beyond a superficial assessment of efficiency metrics to probe the deeper legal and institutional consequences of this digital reform.[9] It seeks to dissect the complex interplay between technological innovation and entrenched legal structures, providing a comprehensive analysis of the system's true impact on the investment climate.

This research is distinguished by its novelty in three key respects. First, in terms of methodological novelty, it is one of the first scholarly works to apply a rigorous mixed-methods design—combining systematic doctrinal legal analysis with extensive quantitative and qualitative empirical data—to

investigate this specific reform. This approach allows for a richer and more robust analysis than a purely legal or purely social science study could provide. Second, the study possesses contextual novelty by focusing specifically on the under-researched tension point between a centralized, national digital system and a decentralized, legally autonomous SEZ.[10] It provides a rare, in-depth case study of how digital governance fares in an environment of legal pluralism. Finally, and most importantly, it offers thematic novelty by moving the academic conversation beyond the well-trodden narrative of "efficiency gains." This study critically examines how the OSS-RBA's digital architecture and algorithmic processes impact foundational principles of administrative law and commercial justice, including the right to due process, regulatory transparency, and the emerging challenges of algorithmic governance. By integrating these dimensions, this study offers a uniquely holistic and critical perspective on the real-world consequences of digital administrative reform.

2. Methods

To comprehensively address the research questions, this study employed a convergent parallel mixed-methods research design. This approach involves the concurrent collection and analysis of distinct quantitative and qualitative data sets. The rationale for this design is that neither quantitative nor qualitative data alone would be sufficient to capture the multifaceted nature of the OSS-RBA's implementation. Quantitative data provides evidence of the system's performance and scale of impact, while qualitative data offers deep, contextualized insights into the legal challenges, institutional dynamics, and lived experiences of stakeholders. The findings from both streams were integrated during the interpretation phase to produce a more robust and nuanced understanding of the phenomenon.

The foundational component of this research was a doctrinal legal analysis to map the normative framework governing business licensing in Batam. This involved a systematic content analysis and comparative legal analysis of a hierarchy of legal instruments: Article 33 of the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, which establishes the state's role in the national economy; Law No. 11 of 2020 on Job Creation (the "Omnibus Law"), with specific focus on articles governing risk-based licensing and digital procedures. Law No. 25 of 2007 on Investment; Government Regulation (GR) No. 5 of 2021 on the Implementation of Risk-Based Business Licensing, and Presidential Regulation No. 49 of 2021; and Law No. 36 of 2000, which established the Batam Free Trade Zone, and its implementing regulation, GR No. 46 of 2007, which outlines the authority of BP Batam.

The analysis focused on identifying normative conflicts, overlaps, and ambiguities between the national OSS-RBA framework and the Batam-specific regulations. A set of criteria was established to define a "legal inconsistency," including direct contradictions in procedural requirements, differing investment thresholds, and unclear delineation of authority between national ministries and BP Batam. The quantitative component aimed to objectively measure the performance and impact of the OSS-RBA system. Data was collected from several sources: An anonymized dataset of 245 business licensing applications processed through the OSS-RBA system in Batam between January 2022 and December 2023 was obtained from the BP Batam investment statistics database. Variables collected included: license type (manufacturing, trading, service), risk classification (low, medium, high), processing time in days, final status (approved, rejected, appealed), and investment value; A survey was administered to 156 business representatives (managers and compliance officers) from companies operating in Batam. A stratified random sampling strategy was used to ensure representation across manufacturing, trading, and service sectors. The instrument was a 20-item questionnaire using Likert scales and multiple-choice questions to gauge perceptions of jurisdictional clarity and inter-agency coordination. Two additional surveys were conducted with recent applicants. One survey 189 applicants on the clarity and fairness of the OSS-

RBA process. Another survey of 198 companies to measure overall satisfaction with the system's speed, cost, and transparency. All quantitative data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics v.28. The analysis primarily involved descriptive statistics (frequencies, percentages, means, standard deviations) to summarize the data from licensing applications and surveys. This was used to generate the figures presented in the tables. Comparative analysis, such as comparing mean processing times before and after OSS-RBA implementation, was conducted to assess efficiency gains.

The qualitative component was designed to explore the "how" and "why" behind the quantitative results, capturing the nuanced perspectives of key stakeholders. In-depth, semi-structured interviews were conducted with 56 key informants, selected through purposive sampling to ensure expert knowledge. This included 24 senior regulatory officials to understand institutional challenges and 32 experienced legal practitioners specializing in investment and commercial law in Batam to gain insights into the legal adequacy and practical challenges faced by their clients. All interviews were audio-recorded with consent, transcribed verbatim, and anonymized. Five focus group discussions were conducted with a total of 45 business actors. Participants were segmented by business size (three groups of SMEs, two groups of large enterprises) to capture differing perspectives. A focus group protocol guided the discussions on topics such as efficiency gains, technical challenges, transparency, and training needs.

A rigorous six-phase inductive thematic analysis, following the framework established by Braun and Clarke, was applied to the entire qualitative dataset (interview and focus group transcripts). This process involved: (1) familiarization with the data, (2) generating initial codes, (3) searching for themes, (4) reviewing themes, (5) defining and naming themes, and (6) producing the report. The software NVivo 12 was used to manage the data and facilitate the coding and theme development process. This systematic approach ensured that the qualitative findings presented in the report were grounded in the data.

To provide a quantifiable measure of the abstract concept of legal certainty, a custom "Business Legal Certainty Index (BLCI)" was developed for this study. The index is grounded in legal and economic literature that identifies predictability, consistency, transparency, and access to remedy as core components of a certain and stable legal environment for investment. The BLCI is a composite index comprising four domains: Predictability (clarity of regulations, predictability of timelines); Consistency (uniform application of rules across agencies and cases); Transparency (Access to information, clarity of decision criteria); and Remedy (Availability and perceived effectiveness of appeal mechanisms). Each of the four domains was assessed using five sub-indicators. Data for these sub-indicators was drawn from the doctrinal analysis and specific questions on the business representative surveys. Responses were scored on a 1-10 scale. The final BLCI score represents the unweighted average of the four domain scores, providing a single metric to compare the pre- and post-OSS-RBA environments. To ensure the content validity of the index, the domains and indicators were reviewed and refined by an expert panel of three senior legal academics and two investment lawyers. The survey instrument used to collect data for the index was also pilot-tested with 30 local companies to ensure clarity and relevance.

3. Results and Discussion

The implementation of the OSS-RBA in Batam presents a compelling paradox, a duality of outcomes that requires deep and integrated analysis. On one hand, the system has delivered spectacular gains in administrative efficiency, acting as a powerful engine for improving the investment climate. On the other hand, it has laid bare and, in some cases, intensified the underlying legal and institutional fragmentation that plagues the FTZ, creating significant challenges to legal certainty and administrative justice. This section presents the study's findings and provides an

in-depth discussion, weaving together the quantitative data and qualitative narratives to explore the multifaceted consequences of this digital transformation.

The quantitative results of this study paint a picture of dramatic and undeniable success in administrative modernization. The OSS-RBA has functioned as a powerful catalyst for change, radically accelerating bureaucratic timelines and making the licensing process more accessible. The precipitous drop in processing times, as detailed in Table 1, provides a stark measure of this impact. The reduction for manufacturing licenses, from a cumbersome 52.3 days to a swift 8.7 days—an 83.4% reduction—is not merely an incremental improvement; it is a fundamental re-engineering of the state's interface with the business community. This finding offers powerful empirical support for the core tenets of digital-era governance theory, which posits that integrating siloed agencies and automating workflows can unlock immense efficiency gains. The OSS-RBA, in its capacity as a "one-stop shop," has effectively demonstrated this principle in action. By creating a single digital gateway, the state has lowered the transaction costs for businesses, a concept central to institutional economics. The time and resources previously spent navigating multiple ministries have been significantly curtailed.

Table 1. Comparative processing times before and after OSS-RBA implementation.

OSS-RBA Implementation Impact

Comparative Analysis of Business Licensing Processing Times in Batam FTZ

💼 License Type	⏳ Pre-OSS-RBA (Days)	📍 OSS-RBA (Days)	📈 Efficiency Improvement
Manufacturing	52.3	8.7	↑83.4%
Trading	38.6	6.2	↑83.9%
Service	41.2	7.1	↑82.8%
Investment	67.4	12.3	↑81.7%
Environmental Permit	89.7	21.4	↑76.1%

This newfound efficiency is directly powered by the system's risk-based architecture. The data in Tables 2 and 3 show the system working as designed: over half of all applications (51.8%) were categorized as low-risk and processed in an average of just 3.2 days with a near-perfect 98.4% approval rate. This demonstrates that the risk-based regulation model can effectively reduce the compliance burden on smaller enterprises and less impactful industries, fostering a more inclusive and dynamic commercial ecosystem. This efficiency appears to be a powerful magnet for capital. The strong positive correlation between the OSS-RBA's implementation and the marked increase in investment volumes detailed in Table 4, particularly

the 67.3% surge in Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), suggests that the international investment community has responded favorably. A predictable and rapid licensing process is a critical factor in investment decisions, and in this regard, the OSS-RBA has successfully bolstered Indonesia's competitiveness.[11]

Table 2. Risk category distribution and average processing times.

OSS-RBA Risk-Based Processing

Analysis of Application Distribution and Processing Times by Risk Category

🛡 Risk Category	📄 Number of Applications (%)	🕐 Average Processing Time (Days)
✅ Low Risk	127 (51.8%)	3.2
⚠ Medium Risk	89 (36.3%)	8.6
☢ High Risk	29 (11.9%)	18.7

Table 3. Approval rates by risk category.

OSS-RBA Approval Rate Analysis

Breakdown of Licensing Approval Success by Risk Category

🛡 Risk Category	📋 Approval Rate
✅ Low Risk	98.4%
⚠ Medium Risk	89.9%
☢ High Risk	79.3%

Table 4. Investment volume and licensing activity in Batam FTZ (2020–2023).

Investment & Licensing Trends

Analysis of Investment Volume and Licensing Activity in Batam FTZ (2020-2023)

📅 Year	$ Investment Value (USD Million)	📝 Number of Licenses	⚖️ Avg. Investment per License
2020	1,247.3	178	$7.01 M
2021	1,456.7	203	$7.17 M
2022	1,834.2	267	$6.87 M
2023	2,156.8	312	$6.91 M

However, this triumphant narrative of efficiency is seductive, and it risks obscuring deeper, more problematic legal realities. The very success of the OSS-RBA as a standardized platform creates an inherent tension with the specialized legal framework of the Batam FTZ.[12] The system's documented inability to process Batam-specific tax incentives is a glaring example of this friction. This technical failure forces investors into a bifurcated process, negating the "one-stop" promise and reintroducing fragmentation. This illustrates a crucial lesson for digital governance: a one-size-fits-all digital solution, no matter how efficient, can fail if it does not possess the flexibility to adapt to diverse, sub-national legal realities. The allure of efficiency, therefore, cannot be the sole metric of success; it must be weighed against the system's capacity to uphold the specific legal promises made to investors in specialized zones.[13]

While the OSS-RBA has created procedural certainty in how to apply for a license, this research reveals that it has failed to resolve the substantive uncertainty regarding which laws apply. The foundational principle of legal certainty remains elusive in the Batam FTZ.[14] The overwhelming majority of businesses, with 73.1% reporting

confusion over jurisdictional authority, are operating in a state of regulatory fog. This is not a minor inconvenience; it is a fundamental flaw in the legal architecture governing investment.[15]

This study's doctrinal analysis, which identified 23 specific legal conflicts between national and FTZ regulations as shown in Table 5, provides the normative evidence for the confusion reported by businesses. Each of these conflicts represents a point of friction and potential dispute for an investor. The discrepancy in investment thresholds, for example, creates a legal and financial impasse that can delay projects. Similarly, the 15 identified inconsistencies in environmental assessment procedures place businesses in an impossible position, where adhering to the national standard may leave a company non-compliant with local FTZ regulations. A senior legal practitioner interviewed for this study aptly described the situation:

"We advise our clients that they are subject to two masters. We have to conduct a dual compliance check for every major action... When they conflict, we have to make a risk assessment, because there is no clear rule of precedence."

Table 5. Key legal conflicts between OSS-RBA and Batam FTZ regulations.

Key Legal Conflicts

Analysis of Regulatory Inconsistencies Between OSS-RBA and Batam FTZ Regulations

🔧 Conflict Type	# Cases	ℹ️ Description of Inconsistency
🪙 **Investment Threshold**	5	The national OSS system mandates different minimum investment values than those permitted under Batam's specific FTZ regulations, creating confusion for investors.
🌿 **Environmental Assessments**	15	Significant conflicts exist in the required procedures, technical standards, and scope of analysis for environmental impact assessments between national and FTZ rules.
👥 **Labor Permit Procedures**	8	The procedural steps for obtaining permits for foreign workers are inconsistent between the centralized OSS system and BP Batam's local requirements.
% **Tax Incentive Integration**	12	The OSS-RBA system is technically unable to process or validate the specific tax incentives offered by the Batam FTZ, forcing businesses into a separate, manual application process.

This situation directly validates the theoretical literature on SEZs, which warns that regulatory dualism is a primary source of legal risk. The OSS-RBA, by acting as a powerful, centralized enforcement mechanism for national regulations, has brought these long-standing jurisdictional tensions to a head.[16] It forces a direct confrontation between the authority of the central government and the legally mandated autonomy of the FTZ. Without a clear mechanism for resolving these conflicts, the legal environment remains fragmented. The Business Legal Certainty Index (BLCI) captures this reality perfectly. While the index score rose from 6.2 to 7.8, reflecting greater procedural predictability, the score for the "Consistency" domain remained low.[17] This indicates that while businesses know the steps of the process,

they cannot be certain that the rules will be applied consistently across the different agencies that govern them. This persistent uncertainty erodes investor confidence and contradicts the core purpose of both the SEZ and the Omnibus Law.

Perhaps the most novel and alarming finding of this research pertains to the emerging challenges to administrative justice in the age of algorithmic decision-making. The OSS-RBA's risk-based engine represents a new frontier of administrative law, one for which existing legal frameworks are dangerously ill-prepared.[18] The finding that only 45% of fully automated decisions are rendered with an accompanying rationale is a direct assault on the principles of administrative due process. A cornerstone of the rule of law is the requirement that

state decisions affecting rights must be reasoned. An unreasoned decision is, in many legal traditions, synonymous with an arbitrary one.[19] The "black box" of the OSS-RBA's algorithm, therefore, creates a serious deficit in procedural fairness. As one SME owner in a focus group lamented,

"We were rejected for a medium-risk permit. The email just said 'rejected'. Not why, not which document was wrong, nothing. How can we fix it if we don't know what is broken?"

This lack of transparency has a demonstrable chilling effect on access to justice. The sharp decline in the appeal rate, from 8.2% to 3.7%, should not be misconstrued as a sign of universal satisfaction. As the interviews with legal practitioners revealed, it is more likely a symptom of learned helplessness. It is procedurally impossible to challenge the merits of a decision when those merits are unknown. The algorithm becomes an unassailable authority, its logic hidden from the very people it governs. This situation presents a critical challenge to Indonesia's administrative courts.[19] Traditional judicial review focuses on errors of law, fact, or procedure in human decision-making. How is a court to review the "legality" of an algorithmic decision whose internal logic is proprietary? This legal vacuum could have severe future consequences, potentially opening the door to international arbitration claims based on a denial of justice.

The final piece of the puzzle lies in the human and institutional capacity to manage this complex digital transition. This study's comparative analysis indicates Batam's superior performance relative to other SEZs, highlighting the critical role of institutional readiness. Batam's advantages—its more advanced digital infrastructure and higher staff competency from targeted training—are key differentiators. This finding strongly suggests that a government cannot simply deploy a technological solution and expect uniform success. The success of the OSS-RBA is contingent upon commensurate investment in digital literacy, technical infrastructure, and the collaborative capacity of the bureaucracy.

The high training completion rate among BP Batam staff (94.2%) is a powerful indicator of institutional commitment and a key enabler of the system's effective use.[20] Well-trained officials are better equipped to navigate the system and guide applicants. However, even in Batam, the data reveals that this capacity is not absolute. The persistence of coordination problems, reported by 83.3% of officials themselves, shows that training alone cannot solve structural issues of jurisdictional overlap.[20] Furthermore, the business community's feedback highlights remaining gaps, with many smaller firms expressing a need for more guidance on the risk assessment criteria. This points to a broader truth about digital reform: it requires a holistic approach where the hardware of the digital platform is matched by the "software" of human capital and the "org-ware" of inter-agency process and collaboration.

4. Conclusion

The digital transformation of Indonesia's business licensing regime, spearheaded by the Online Single Submission Risk-Based Approach, stands as a bold and consequential act of state modernization. The implementation of this system in the Batam Free Trade Zone, as this study has detailed, offers a powerful and nuanced narrative about the immense potential and significant perils of such reform. The OSS-RBA is not a simple success or failure; it is a complex paradox, a testament to the fact that efficiency and legality do not always advance in lockstep. This research confirms that the OSS-RBA has been spectacularly successful in its mission to cure the chronic ailment of administrative inefficiency. The radical reduction in processing times and the corresponding surge in investment, particularly FDI, demonstrate that a streamlined, predictable, and rapid licensing process is a potent catalyst for economic activity. In this, the Indonesian government has achieved a monumental victory, signaling to the world its commitment to creating a more competitive and business-friendly environment. However, this victory in the domain of efficiency has been achieved while leaving the deeper

challenges of legal and institutional coherence largely unresolved. This study reveals that the OSS-RBA, in its current form, operates within a fractured legal landscape. The persistent and numerous conflicts between national regulations and the specialized legal framework of the Batam FTZ create a labyrinth of jurisdictional ambiguity that undermines the very legal certainty that investors crave. The promise of a "one-stop shop" remains unfulfilled as long as businesses must navigate a dual set of rules and requirements.

Furthermore, the embrace of algorithmic governance has ushered in a new and urgent challenge to the principles of administrative justice. The current opacity of automated decision-making, where rejections are rendered without reason, subverts the right to a fair hearing and weakens access to legal remedies. This "black box" of the digital state risks creating a new form of administrative arbitrariness, where efficiency is prioritized at the expense of fairness and accountability. The rule of law in the digital era demands more; it demands that automated systems be transparent, their logic contestable, and their outcomes subject to meaningful review. Ultimately, the experience of the OSS-RBA in Batam teaches a vital lesson: sustainable digital governance is not built on technology alone. It must be built upon a solid foundation of harmonized laws, robust legal protections for due process, and a deep and continuous investment in the institutional and human capacity of the state. The path forward for Indonesia requires moving beyond the celebration of speed to engage in the more difficult and patient work of legal and institutional reform. The success of this digital transformation will be measured not by the velocity of its approvals, but by its ability to create an ecosystem that is at once efficient, just, and, above all, certain.

5. References

1. Ali M, Juaini M, Pahrudin P, Nadia S, Salmiah S, Fatihi T. Innovation and creativity of stakeholders toward sustainable tourism development in the time of crisis: a case of special economic zone, Indonesia. Int J Sustainable Dev Plann. 2024; 19(12): 4573–83.

2. Oktaviana MG, Sjamsuddin S, Sarwono, Noor I. Implementation of special economic zone policies in Indonesia (Case study in Sorong Special Economic Zone, Southwest Papua) The special economic zone policy in Indonesia is carried out to accelerate the growth and development of regional economies by estab. Intl J Rel. 2024; 5(11): 6600–23.

3. Zein YA, Rohman A. The urgency of border area development towards special economic zones: Legal guarantees for social welfare in Indonesia. Pancasila and Law Review. 2025; 5(2): 109–22.

4. Rustidja ES, Purnamawati A, Setiawati R. Investment promotion for community economic development of special economic zone: Study of Sez Mandalika and Bitung in Indonesia. Eur J Econ Bus Stud. 2017; 8(1): 138.

5. Taufiqurrahman T, Khoirunurrofik K. Special economic zones (SEZs) impact on poverty in Indonesia. Jpp. 2023; 7(2): 231–49.

6. Aritenang AF, Chandramidi AN. The impact of special economic zones and government intervention on firm productivity: The case of Batam, Indonesia. Bull Indones Econ Stud. 2020; 56(2): 225–49.

7. Otchia CS, Wiryawan BA. The impact of special economic zones on structural change. J Int Trade Econ Dev. 2024; 1–21.

8. Sukadi ESA. Impact of special economic zones on export diversification in Sub-Saharan Africa. J Int Trade Econ Dev. 2024; 1–29.

9. Görg H, Mulyukova A. Place-based policies and firm performance: evidence from special economic zones in India. Eur Econ Rev. 2024; 165(104752): 104752.

10. Pushkarev A, Gainetdinova A, Davidson N, Sokolova E. The impact of special economic zones on industrial enterprises' productivity

in Russia. Post-Communist Econ. 2024; 1–30.

11. Jiang M, Liu H, Kim E. Comparative analysis of the economic effects of special economic zones in China and Korea: evidence from a PSM difference-in-difference method. Appl Econ Lett. 2024; 1–6.

12. Misztal P, Kulakou V. Investment attractiveness factors in the opinion of companies from special economic zones in Poland. Manag Econ. 2024; 25(1): 71.

13. Bergantino AS, Fusco G, Intini M. Are special economic zone policies (SEZ) effective? An empirical analysis on the transport and logistics' sector. Appl Econ. 2025; 1–15.

14. Owusu NYA, Osei RD, Ackah CG. Special economic zones (SEZ) wage premium hypothesis: an unconditional quantile regression and decomposition approach. J Interdiscip Econ. 2025.

15. Kahvecioğlu N. What can Turkiye learn from China's experience in establishing special economic zones in Africa? J Life Econ. 2025; 12(1).

16. Tafese T, Lay J, Tran V. From fields to factories: Special economic zones, foreign direct investment, and labour markets in Vietnam. J Dev Econ. 2025; 174(103467): 103467.

17. Li J, Zhan S, Wang X. Can special economic zones in China enhance firm's global value chain position? Struct Chang Econ Dyn. 2025; 74: 361–72.

18. Pratik D. Analysing India's Open Acreage Licensing Policy: problems and perspectives. J World Energy Law Bus. 2021; 13(5–6): 483–9.

19. Ahmad D. Impact of business law on financial transactions with perspective to commercial licensing spectrum. Int J Bus Econ Manag. 2022; 9(4): 139–61.

20. Kim Y-C, Kotha R, Rhee M. Do firms with technological capabilities rush in? Evidence from the timing of licensing of Stanford inventions. J Bus Res. 2024; 178(114679): 114679.